



ST. JOSEPH AREA
Long Range Transportation Plan

2035 Long Range Transportation Plan

St. Joseph Area
Transportation Study Organization

Adopted February 11, 2010

URS Corporation

URS



St. Joseph Urban Area Transportation Study Organization (SJATSO)

2035 Long Range Transportation Plan

February 11, 2010

The *2035 SJATSO Long Range Transportation Plan* has been produced and completed in accordance with the American Institute of Certified Planners (AICP) Code of Ethics and Professional Conduct¹. We certify that the content of this document complies with the requirements set forth by the State of Missouri and the United States Department of Transportation.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Dan Meyers", written over a horizontal line.

Dan Meyers, AICP (#010908)
Project Manager

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jim Meyer", written over a horizontal line.

Jim Meyer, AICP (#013073)
Transportation Planning Task Leader

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mike Larson", written over a horizontal line.

Mike Larson, AICP (#020539)
Public Participation Task Leader

¹ AICP Code of Ethics and Professional Conduct adopted March 19, 2005 and revised October 3, 2009.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction.....	1
	A. Background.....	1
	B. Study Area	3
2.	The Planning Process.....	4
	A. SAFETEA-LU Legislation.....	4
	B. St. Joseph Area Transportation Study Organization	6
	C. LRTP Public Involvement Initiatives.....	9
3.	Goals and Objectives.....	10
	A. Overview.....	10
	B. 2035 LRTP Goals and Objectives.....	10
4.	Transportation Issues.....	14
	A. Overview.....	14
	B. Population Trends.....	14
	C. Housing Trends.....	16
	D. Economic Trends.....	17
	E. Sustainability.....	18
	F. Financial Constraints	20
5.	Existing Conditions.....	21
	A. Overview.....	21
	B. Historic Development Patterns.....	21
	C. Functional Classification	24
	D. Average Daily Traffic Volumes.....	36
	E. Traffic Safety.....	39
	F. Roadway Capacity.....	47
	G. Transit Services	50
	H. Non-Motorized Facilities.....	63
	I. Railroads.....	69
	J. Freight Traffic.....	69
	K. River Port.....	71



L. Aviation	72
M. Utility Accommodations.....	76
N. Communications	76
O. Intelligent Transportation Systems.....	77
P. Transportation Strengths to Build On.....	80
6. Future Transportation Needs	83
A. Overview	83
B. 2035 Growth Scenarios.....	83
C. Roadways	91
D. Transit.....	97
E. Non-motorized Facilities.....	101
F. Railroads.....	105
G. Truck/Freight Traffic.....	108
H. Public Ports.....	110
I. Aviation	111
J. Intelligent Transportation Systems.....	112
K. Transportation Security.....	115
7. Alternatives Analysis	118
A. Overview	118
B. Roadway Alternatives	119
C. Transit Alternatives	134
D. Non-Motorized Alternatives.....	143
8. Recommended Plan	147
A. Overview.....	147
B. Funding Future Transportation Investments	147
C. Fiscally Constrained Recommended Plan	148
D. Unconstrained LRTP Vision.....	165
E. TIP Selection Process.....	176
F. Implementation and Supporting Policies.....	176

List of Figures

Figure 1-1. SJATSO Study Area	2
Figure 4-1. City of St. Joseph Issued Building Permits (2000-2007)	16
Figure 4-2. Annual Household Income (2007)	17
Figure 4-3. Travel Mode for Work Commute	18
Figure 4-4. Place of Employment (by County)	19
Figure 5-1. Historic Development Patterns	23
Figure 5-2. SJATSO Functional Classification	26
Figure 5-3. Mobility vs. Accessibility	28
Figure 5-4. Local Residential Street with Parking on Both Sides	31
Figure 5-5. Collector with On-Street Parking and Bike Lanes	32
Figure 5-6. Mixed Use Street with Bike Lanes, On-Street Parking and Wide Sidewalks ...	33
Figure 5-7. Arterial with Median and Bike Lanes	34
Figure 5-8. Rural Road (with Paved Shoulders)	35
Figure 5-9. Annual Average Daily Traffic- City of St. Joseph (2009)	37
Figure 5-10. Annual Average Daily Traffic (2006) - Savannah and Elwood/Wathena	38
Figure 5-11. Location of Poor and Very Poor Bridge and Pavement Conditions	46
Figure 5-12. General Level of Service Definitions	48
Figure 5-13. Existing Capacity Analysis (2000)	49
Figure 5-14. The Ride Transit Routes	53
Figure 5-15. St. Joseph Area Transit Ridership by Route (FY03 – FY09)	55
Figure 5-16. Transit Routes with ¼ Buffer	56
Figure 5-17. Transit Routes with Transit Dependent Population	57
Figure 5-18. Boulevard Typical Sections	66
Figure 5-19. Existing Non-Motorized Facilities	68
Figure 5-20. Freight Tonnage	71
Figure 5-21. Rosecrans Memorial Airport	74
Figure 5-22. Recommended Airport Concept	74
Figure 5-23. MOANG Relocation Phasing	75
Figure 5-24. Inventory of Regional Strengths	82
Figure 6-1. 2035 Population Projections – Andrew, Buchanan, and Doniphan	84
Figure 6-2. 2035 Employment Projections – Andrew, Buchanan, and Doniphan	85
Figure 6-3. SJATSO Metropolitan Planning Area and Growth Allocation Zones	88
Figure 6-4. Conceptual Representation of Continued Trend Allocation	89
Figure 6-5. Conceptual Representation of Smart Growth Allocation	90
Figure 6-6. 2035 Projected Annual Average Daily Traffic (No-Build)	93
Figure 6-7. 2035 No-Build Capacity Analysis	94
Figure 6-8. Functional Classification/Land Use Connection	96
Figure 6-9. Transit Routes with ¼-mile Buffer – Low Income Population	98
Figure 6-10. Transit Routes with ¼-mile Buffer – Minority Population	99
Figure 6-11. Planned Non-Motorized Improvements	104
Figure 6-12. Potential Passenger Rail Service	107



Figure 7-1. Potential Roadway Improvements.....	120
Figure 7-2. Limited Capacity Improvements – 2035 Traffic Volumes.....	125
Figure 7-3. Limited Capacity Improvements – 2035 Capacity Analysis.....	126
Figure 7-4. Full Build Capacity Improvements – 2035 Traffic Volumes.....	127
Figure 7-5. Full Build Capacity Improvements – 2035 Capacity Analysis	128
Figure 7-6. Projected Miles of Congestion.....	129
Figure 7-7. Potential Transit Alternatives.....	137
Figure 7-8. Potential Transit Cost Estimates	139
Figure 8-1. Fiscally Constrained Roadway Improvements.....	153
Figure 8-2. Environmental Mitigation Analysis – Fiscally Constrained Roadway Projects	157
Figure 8-3. Non-Motorized Plan Improvements	163
Figure 8-4. LRTP Vision – Tier II and Tier III Projects	168
Figure 8-5. LRTP Vision – Future Functional Classification.....	170
Figure 8-6. Outer Loop in Relationship to Land Use Plan.....	171
Figure 8-7. Outer Loop in Relationship to Urban Land Institute Plan	172
Figure 8-8. Existing US 36 Corridor.....	173
Figure 8-9. Conceptual Improvement of the US 36 Corridor	173
Figure 8-10. Future Land Use & Transportation Projects.....	175

List of Tables

Table 2-1. SJATSO Coordinating Committee Representatives.....	6
Table 2-2. SJATSO Technical Committee Representatives	7
Table 2-3. SJATSO 2035 LRTP Steering Committee Members	8
Table 4-1. Population Change (1990 to 2008).....	15
Table 4-2. Population Projections, 2000-2035.....	15
Table 4-3. 2000 & 2035 MPO Employment (Retail and Non-Retail)	17
Table 5-1. Roadway Miles by Functional Classification (SJATSO MPA)	25
Table 5-2. Daily Vehicle Miles of Travel by Functional Classification (SJATSO MPA)	27
Table 5-3. Travel Characteristics (SJATSO MPA).....	27
Table 5-4. Relationship Between Functional Classification and Land Use.....	30
Table 5-5. City of St. Joseph Traffic Accidents, 2004-2007	39
Table 5-6. Crash Rates in the SJATSO MPA, 2003-2007	40
Table 5-7. Poor or Very Poor Bridges.....	42
Table 5-8. Miles of Poor or Very Poor Pavement Conditions – City of St. Joseph	43
Table 5-9. Miles of Poor or Very Poor Pavement Conditions – MoDOT.....	43
Table 5-10. Poor or Very Poor Pavement Conditions – Collectors and Arterials.....	44
Table 5-11. Poor or Very Poor Pavement Conditions – MoDOT	45
Table 5-12. Description of General Roadway Conditions	48
Table 5-13. FY 2009 Operating Statistics for The Ride.....	54
Table 5-14. “The Ride” Annual Ridership (FY 03 – FY09)	54
Table 5-15. Transit Expenditures and Revenues (2002 – 2007).....	58
Table 5-16. Emergency Preemption Device Intersection Locations.....	78
Table 6-1. 2035 Population Projections – Andrew, Buchanan, and Doniphan	84
Table 6-2. Travel Demand Forecasting Model Data (Three County Area).....	86
Table 6-3. SJATSO Metropolitan Planning Area Projections	87
Table 6-4. Comparison of the 2000 and 2035 Service Coverage	97
Table 6-5. Comparison of Existing and Future Truck Traffic.....	109
Table 7-1. List of Roadway Improvements	121
Table 7-2. Roadway Evaluation Results.....	123
Table 7-3. Workplace Survey Results (by Sector)	130
Table 7-4. Roadway Cost Estimates	131
Table 7-5. Rehabilitation/Reconstruction Roadway Projects (in TIP).....	132
Table 7-6. Transit Alternatives Evaluation Results	138
Table 7-7. Year 2035 Transit Service Coverage.....	138
Table 7-8. Transit TIP Projects – Elderly and Disabled	140
Table 7-9. Transit TIP Projects – Public Transportation.....	140
Table 7-10. Non-Motorized Evaluation Results	145
Table 8-1. Projected Transportation Revenues (2010 – 2035)	150
Table 8-2. Operating and Maintenance – Federally Funded Assets	150
Table 8-3. Fiscally Constrained Roadway Improvements	152
Table 8-4. Tier II and III Projects	166